

1 CLARK HILL PLLC  
2 PAOLA M. ARMENI  
3 Nevada Bar No. 8357  
4 Email: [parmeni@clarkhill.com](mailto:parmeni@clarkhill.com)  
5 GIA N. MARINA  
6 Nevada Bar No. 15276  
7 Email: [gmarina@clarkhill.com](mailto:gmarina@clarkhill.com)  
8 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500  
9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
10 Tel: (702) 862-8300  
11 Fax: (702) 778-9709  
12 Attorneys for Defendant, Anthony Horovitz

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

14 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

16 CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 ANTHONY HOROVITZ,

20 Defendant.

21 **ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE DATE TO RESPOND TO GOVERNMENT'S**  
22 **MOTION FOR INSTALLMENT PAYMENT ORDER [48]**

23 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between Anthony Horovitz, Defendant, by and  
24 through his counsel, Paola M. Armeni, Esq., and Gia N. Marina, Esq., of the law firm of Clark  
25 Hill, PLLC, and the Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Jason Frierson, United  
26 States Attorney, and Summer A. Johnson, Assistant United States Attorney, to extend the time for  
27 the Defendant to respond to Government's Motion for Installment Payment Order [DKT 48], filed  
28 on July 7, 2022.

29 **IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED** that the deadline for filing  
any response be extended up to and including August 4, 2022.

30 This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

31 1. The Response deadline date is July 21, 2022.

1       2. The law firm of Clark Hill PLLC was retained on July 14, 2022.

2       3. Defense Counsel must be permitted to evaluate all information in order to prepare a  
3 response to the government's motion.

4       4. For these reasons, the parties agree that the Response to the Motion for Installment  
5 Payment Order will be filed on August 4, 2022.

6       5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay and the denial of  
7 this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

8       6. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the  
9 continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.

10      7. This is a first request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for Installment  
11 Payment Order.

12      JASON FRIERSON  
13      UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
14      DISTRICT OF NEVADA

15      DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2022.

16      \_\_\_\_\_  
17      /s/ Summer A. Johnson  
18      SUMMER A. JOHNSON  
19      Assistant United States Attorney  
20      Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
21      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CLARK HILL PLLC

DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2022.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Gia N. Marina  
PAOLA M. ARMENI  
GIA N. MARINA  
Attorney for Defendant,  
ANTHONY HOROVITZ

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANTHONY HOROVITZ.

Defendant.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW AND ORDER**

10 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the  
11 Court hereby finds that:

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

13 Based on the fact that counsel has agreed to a continuance, the Court hereby concludes that:

14 1. The Response deadline date is July 21, 2022.

15 2. The law firm of Clark Hill PLLC was retained on July 14, 2022.

16 3. Defense Counsel must be permitted to evaluate all information in order to prepare a

17 response to the government's motion.

18 4. For these reasons, the parties agree that the response to the Motion for Installment Payment

19 Order will be filed on August 4, 2022.

20 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes or delay and the denial of

21 the denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

22 6. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the

23 continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.

24 7. This is a first request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for Installment

25 Payment Order.

26 8. The ends of justice are served by granting said continuances and outweigh the best

27 interest of the public and since the failure to grant said continuances would be likely to result in

28 a miscarriage of justice, as it would deny the parties herein sufficient time, and the opportunity,

1 within which to effectively and thoroughly prepare and file responses, and replies, and taking  
2 into account the exercise of due diligence.

3 **ORDER**

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadlines for filing of responsive pleading, is hereby  
5 due on or before the 4<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadlines for filing a reply is hereby due on or before  
7 the 11th day of August, 2022.

8 **DATED** this 20th day of July 2022.

9   
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE**  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

---

10 **CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH**